Evaluation Committee Report

Deerfield Township School District Evaluation Committee Report for the Food Services RFP for the 2023-2024 School Year

- 1. List of Proposers:
 - Chartwells
 - Maschio's
- 2. List of Evaluation Committee Member/s:
 - Joseph Giambri
- 3. Proposal Comparison Summary: The following is financial review of the FSMC's proposal:

	f FSMC's Proposal		
Name of FSMC	Chartwells	Maschio's	
REVENUE TOTAL			
Total Operational Revenue	\$191,684.00	\$179,447.92	
NET FOOD COST			
Food Cost	\$79,031.00	\$69,787.61	
Percent of Revenue	41%	39%	
Cents per Meal	\$1.49	\$1.39	
NET PAPER AND CLEANIN	IG COST		
Paper and Cleaning Cost	\$6,303.45	\$12,464.38	
Percent of Revenue	3%	7%	
Cents per Meal	\$0.12	\$0.25	
NET OTHER COST			
Other Cost	\$2,068.00	\$6,213.90	
Anticipated Meal Charges Debt	\$0.00	\$500.00	
Percent of Revenue	1%	3%	
Cents per Meal	\$0.04	\$0.12	
LABOR			
Sub Total Hourly Payroll	\$49,580.16	\$51,031.89	
Sub Total Hourly Taxes & Benefits	\$14,492.86	\$15,618.05	
Total Hourly Wages, Taxes & Benefits	\$64,073.02	\$66,649.94	
Total Yearly Hourly Work Days	558	558	
Total Daily Hourly Food Service Workers Hours	17.50	17.50	
Total Hourly Positions	3	3	
Food Service Director Salary			
Assistant Director Salary			
Chef Salary			
Administrative Assist.			
Summer Food Program			
Management Taxes & Benefits			
Total Management Salary, Taxes & Benefits	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Evaluation Committee Report

Deerfield Financial Comparison of FSMC's Proposals				
Name of FSMC	Chartwells	Maschio's		
Total Hourly & Management Wages, Taxes & Benefits	\$64,073.02	\$66,649.94		
Percent of Revenue	33%	37%		
Cents per Meal	\$1.21	\$1.33		
FSMC Management Positions & Count:				
Food Service Director	-	-		
Chef	-	-		
Asst. Director	-	-		
Total Management and Admin. Position Count	0	0		
PROJECTED MEAL COUNTS and MANAC	GEMENT FEE EXPENS	E		
Projected Breakfast Meals	13,300	13,350		
Projected Lunch Meals	36,400	34,400		
Projected Meal Equivalent Meals	3,425	2,438		
Meal Equivalent Meals Factor Used	\$4.38	\$4.38		
Projected TOTAL Meals	53,125	50,188		
Projected TOTAL Management Fee Expense	\$19,921.75	\$12,547.09		
TOTAL Operation Expenses	\$171,397.22	\$168,162.92		
MANAGEMENT FEE and SFA SURPLUS/DEFICIT (form 23, page 1)				
Projected Bottom Line	\$20,286.78	\$11,285.00		
Cents per Meal Management Rate	\$0.3750	\$0.2500		
Order Lowest to Highest	3	1		
Guarantee Return/Deficit	\$20,286.78	\$11,285.00		
Order Highest to Lowest	2	3		

4. Evaluation Criteria - The following was the criteria used by the committee in evaluating the proposals:

	The Criteria Used In Evaluating Proposals The points awarded range from 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest score and 1 being the lowest	Weighting Factor	Points
1.	Total Cost: points awarded to the cost of the contract (the amount indicated on page/tab 5 of Form 23CR, Total Program, Total Expenses) will be based on the lowest total cost receiving the most points with decreasing points for each FSMC's higher cost.	22%	1 to 5
2.	The Guaranteed Return will be based upon the highest guaranteed return receiving the most points (5) with decreasing points for each FSMC lower guarantee return. If no guarantee is offered then the points awarded will be zero.	15%	1 to 5
3.	FSMCs capability, record of performance and financial condition: Corporate capability and experience will be measured by performance record, years in the industry, relevant experience, ability to successfully operate a non NSLP and a NSLP food service program, number of districts served, client retention, references, and the financial condition of the FSMC.	13%	1 to 5
4.	Proposed on-site management : Considers the number of the management team proposed, references; proposal resumes, face to face interviews and any other method to discover the capabilities and skill level of the on-site manager.	21%	1 to 5
5.	The Food Service program proposed by the FSMC: Considers how the FSMC will provide good variety, great taste, freshness, authenticity, healthy choices, ambiance, and excellent service that will be the norm, not the exception. Did the FSMC provide appropriate food concepts that will attract and retain the students in a comforting and	19%	1 to 5

Evaluation Committee Report

	The Criteria Used In Evaluating Proposals The points awarded range from 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest score and 1 being the lowest	Weighting Factor	Points
	comfortable atmosphere? How will the FSMC operate any satellite program? Did the FSMC show how they used their creativity, skills, resources and staff to propose and provide a program that meets the District goals? Did and will the FSMC propose a program which increases the frequencies of vegetables and fruit and less reliance on starches? How will the FSMC pricing strategy increase sales?		
6.	FSMC's Start Up/Transition Plan: Is the FSMC start up plan customized to the start of this program? Is the plan detailed plan from pre-planning (10 days prior to the start of the contract) through the start of the contract through the first three months to September 30, 2023? Did it detail the additional management/resources provided as well as the startup task aany requirements for the District, implementation date, estimated completion date, and who is responsible (name and title)? Did the plan have enough different (not repetitive) tasks listed covering the startup activities in implementation, management, HR, food services and training? Was it submitted in Excel format or a Gantt chart?	10%	1 to 5

5. Scoring – The following is the scoring totals of the Evaluation Committee:

TOTALS						
CDITEDIA	Weight %	Points Awarded (1 to 5)		Weighted Points		
CRITERIA		Chartwells	Maschio's	Chartwells	Maschio's	
Criteria 1-Total Cost	22%	3.00	5.00	0.660	1.100	
Criteria 2-Guaranteed Return	15%	5.00	3.00	0.750	0.450	
Criteria 3-FSMCs Capability, Rec. of Performance and Financial Cond.	13%	4.00	5.00	0.520	0.650	
Criteria 4-Proposed Onsite Management	21%	3.00	4.00	0.630	0.840	
Criteria 5-Food Service Program Proposed by FSMC	19%	4.00	5.00	0.760	0.950	
Criteria 6-FSMCs Startup/Transition Plan	10%	4.00	5.00	0.400	0.500	
TOTALS	100%	23.00	27.00	3.720	4.490	

- 6. **Summary of Scoring:** The following evaluation scores resulted after being scored by the evaluation committee:
 - A. **Maschio's 4.490 weighted points** Maschio's scored the highest in four of the five evaluation categories.
 - B. **Chartwells 3.720 weighted points** Chartwells scored the lowest in four of the five evaluation categories.
- 7. Recommendation of the Deerfield Township School District Food Services RFP Evaluation Committee:

Upon review of the proposals submitted, and based upon the RFP evaluation criteria, the committee concludes that the Maschio's proposal is the most advantageous for the Deerfield Township Board of Education.